

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 REX CHAPPELL,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-1183 LKK DAD P

12 vs.

13 C.K. PLILER, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and seeking relief pursuant to 42
17 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 24, 2008, plaintiff requested an extension of time to file a pretrial
18 statement. On March 26, 2008, plaintiff filed a pretrial statement. Plaintiff's pretrial statement is
19 premature and will be disregarded. In due course, the court will issue a scheduling order. In that
20 order, the court will advise plaintiff when to file a pretrial statement.

21 Plaintiff is reminded that on February 21, 2008, this court issued an order
22 requiring plaintiff to file a status report, addressing ten topics. Failure to file a timely status
23 report or seek an extension of time to do so may result in the imposition of sanctions, including
24 dismissal of the action or preclusion of issues or witnesses.

25 /////

26 /////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's March 24, 2008 request for an extension of time is denied as unnecessary; and

2. Plaintiff's March 26, 2008 pretrial statement is disregarded as premature.

DATED: April 3, 2008.



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:9
chap1183.sr(2)